SINGLE BENCH

(4)

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P.(s). No. 736/07 ~~2006~~

Petitioner ..... (1) Than Singh Ganjer, Aged 37 Years
S/o Shri jagat Ram,
Assistant Teacher,
Girls Primery School, Pendkhani
Gurur Block, District Durg (C.G.)

(2) Prem Lal Sahu, Aged 48 Years
S/o Shri Beshu Ram Sahu,
Assistant Teacher,
Girls Primery School, Hasda
Gurur Block, District Durg (C.G.)

(3) VedPrakash Bhosle, Aged 48 Years
S/o Shri Kalicharan Bhosle
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Middle School, Sonaidongari
Block Gurur ,Distt. Durg.

(4) Kanahaiya Lal Gajendra Aged 42 Yrs.,
S/O Shri Dhansai Gajendra
Assistant Teacher
Govt. Middle School, Penwaro
Block Gurur, Distt. Durg

(5) Ghandhi Ram Sahu ,Aged 48 years
S/O Shri Prabhujee Arya
Assistant Teacher,
Middle School,Kanwar
Block Gurur, District Durg (C.G.)

(6) Nand Kumar Nishad, Aged 44 Years
S/O Shri Tungan Ram Nishad,
Assistant Teacher,
Pry. School, Deyabati
Gurur Block, District Durg (C.G.)

(7) Mahendra Kumar Sonwani, Aged 48 Years
S/o Shri Ram Kumar Sonwani,
Assistant Teacher,
Primary School, Sonaidongari
Gurur Block, District Durg (C.G.)

(8) Ku. Hemlata Sahu, Aged 45Years
S/o Shri Suman Sahu,
Assistant Teacher,
Middle School, Kanri
Gurur Block, District Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 827/07
Presented by Shri S.K. Verma
dated 31/10/07

RECEIVED COPY
31/10/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

COMMISSIONER
संभाग आयुक्त
DURG (M.P.)

(2) (5)

(9) Narayanlal Sahu,Aged 35 Years
S/o Shri Sampat Ram Sahu
Assistant Teacher
Govt. Middle School, Palari
Block Gurur, District Durg (C. G.)
(10) Smt Minakshi Sore,Aged 42 Years
W/O Shri Dhiragi Ram Sori
Assistant Teacher
Govt. Primery School, Thekwadheh
Block Gurur, District Durg (C. G.)

Versus

Respondent ..... (1) State Govt. of Chhattisgarh
Through:- Secretary,
Tribal Welfare Department & Education
Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
(C.G.)
(2) Assistant Commissioner,
Tribal Welfare Department
Durg (C.G.)

(3) District Education Officer,
Durg. (C.G.)

Writ petition under articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS.

...submit as under :-

(32)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 736 of 2007

Petitioners	:	Than Singh Ganjer and others
	Versus	
Respondents	:	State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on 7th day of February, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case is similar to that of in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006 (Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7th November, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order dated 7th November, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur